Use of an Absorbent Soft Silicone Self-Adherent Bordered Foam Dressing to Decrease Sacral Pressure Ulcers in the Surgical Trauma ICU "IDENTIFYING THE SICKEST OF THE SICK, CONTROLLING WHAT WE CAN, FIGHTING MOISTURE, FRICTION, AND SHEAR"

Author: C. Tod Brindle, BSN, RN, ET, CWOCN, CLIN IV; Virginia Commonwealth University Health System, Richmond, VA

PURPOSE:

Current recommendations in pressure ulcer prevention literature, and strategies used in our acute care facility, often failed to prevent skin breakdown in critically ill Surgical Trauma patients¹. Interventions to decrease these patients' pressure ulcer rates were sought.

SIGNIFICANCE:

Due to extensive injuries or disease processes, patients being cared for in the STICU can remain in the critical care setting for extented periods of time. Critically ill patients manifest co-morbidities which predispose them toward pressure ulcer development^{2,3,4}. At VCUHS, the Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk⁵, as well as in-depth staff education and integrated evidence-based interventions, serve to reduce pressure ulcer incidence in the STICU. However, the number of pressure ulcers remained unacceptably high⁶.

STRATEGY AND IMPLEMENTATION:

Previous pressure ulcer prevalence studies at VCUHS revealed highest incidence over the sacrum and heels. The study focus was placed on sacral pressure ulcer prevention since measures to reduce heel ulcers had already been instituted.

FINDINGS: NO SACRAL PRESSURE ULCERS DEVELOPED ON THE 41 HIGH-RISK PATIENTS

THE CWOCN TOOK THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS:

- **STEP I.** During the study period, the entire census of the STICU, 93 patients, was evaluated and followed. The patients ranged in age from 18 to 81 years. A bedside assessment tool was developed to identify high-risk STICU patients. 41 patients met criteria for inclusion. (See STICU Study toolkit)
- STEP II. An absorbent soft silicone self-adherent bordered foam dressing* hypothesized to absorb moisture, reduce friction, and minimize shear over the sacrum was selected for use on identified high-risk STICU patients.
- STEP III. The sacral dressing was applied to the indentified patients at admission. Skin checks were completed each shift by lifting the dressing away from the intact sacral skin. The dressing was changed every three days.
- **STEP IV.** All patients were followed by the CWOCN for a two-month period beginning with their admission to the STICU.
- **STEP V.** At two months from admission, the sacral pressure ulcer incidence of the high-risk patients with the sacral dressing was compared to that of the lower-risk patients.

SHEAR, FRICTION AND EXCESS MOISTURE WERE THEORIZED TO BE MAJOR FACTORS IN SKIN BREAKDOWN^{7,8,9}

Case Study # 1

Ш

S

(

23-year-old female admitted from outside hospital following emergency C-section. Complications: HELLP syndrome, (hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets), sepsis, fungal necrotizing abdominal fasciitis with severe systemic complications. 25 surgeries, anasarca, prolonged vasopressor support, ventilation, MODS, malnutrition.

OUTCOME: In STICU 119 days. No Sacral Pressure Ulcer.

Case Study # 2

26-year-old male fell off high rise, landing on his back. Complications: Tension hemopneumothorax with pneumomediastinum and diaphragmatic hematoma, pelvic and spine burst fractures, devascularization of left kidney, severe liver and spleen lacerations, ex lap with open abdomen, cardiac arrest.

Patient unable to be turned for 9 days due to severe hemodynamic instability.

OUTCOME: In STICU 63 days.

No Sacral Pressure Ulcer.

Case Study # 3

60-year-old male motor cycle crash victim with severe injuries to CNS and lungs. Complications: Cardiac arrest, quadriplegia, 6 surgeries, anasarca, ventilator dependency.

OUTCOME: In STICU 38 days. No Sacral Pressure Ulcer.

Developed DTI when soft silicone sacral dressing was discontinued, despite ongoing evidence-based preventive interventions.

Case Study # 4

57-year-old male, life-flighted from motor cycle crash. Complications: Cardiac arrest with 9 episodes of defibrillation, maximum vasopressors, head injury, multiple surgeries, ventilation.

OUTCOME: In STICU 20 days (then study ended).

No Sacral Pressure Ulcer.

One week after discontinuing soft silicone sacral dressing, large DTI developed; full-thickness injury resulted.

STICU STAFF AND WOUND CARE TEAM Furn at least every 2 hr and PRN (ask reporting RN for time of last turn) f on continuous lateral rotation therapy: • Rotation 18 hrs per day; • Manual turn every 2 hrs: stop rotation, reposition R or L x 30 minutes, place supine,	SACRAL DRESSING TRIAL Goal: • Decrease Hospital-Acquired Pressure Ulo • Monitor Pressure ulcer incidence in the IC • Evaluate effectiveness of a soft silicone dr and moisture injury to the skin in high risk	er in the Surgical Trauma - ICU 2U ressing on decreasing shear, friction, patients.
NEIGHT SHIFT:		INCLUSION CRITERIA:
 If full 30-degree turn not possible due to traction or hemodynamic instability: 	Provide skin assessment daily on all patients	AUTOMATICALLY APPLY SACRAL
If patient up in chair, shift weight every 30 minutes to 1 hr	Implement pressure ulcer prevention	Surgical procedure lasting greater
	(see daily practice sheet)	8 hours
Eloat heels. Prevalon honts if natient aditated:	Evaluate patient for inclusion in	 Cumulative surgeries lasting 8 ho more
Lift sheet/turn sheet to reposition in bed;	study	Cardiac arrest this admission
If specialty bed needed: consult Wound Care Team;	Criteria for inclusion: (see inclusion criteria)	
Chair-bound patients: order 4-inch foam mattress	s: order 4-inch foam mattress Okpain and the single of the	
	 Change dressing every 3 days 	
IN BUNDLE:	DOCUMENT details on all	OF THE FOLLOWING:
Skin checks every shift and PRN with each turn; Barrier cream, moisturizer, and PRN incontinence cream to all patients not receiving	patients on track sheet.	Weeping Edema/Anasarca
sacral dressing;	<u> </u>	Traction
Educate patient/family/caregivers on pressure ulcer risk, interventions, and encourage activitation in ears	——————————————————————————————————————	Morbid Obesity
participation in care	S	□ Age >65 y.o.
TRITION BUNDLE:		Diabetes Mellitus
Registered dietician to determine pAlb, Alb and other lab frequencies;		Bed Rest
Encourage water/hydration;		Liver Failure
Assist patient with meals if taking PO		Malnutrition (prealburnin <20, Alt NPO greater than 3 days
VICE CHECK:		Sedation/ Paralytics >48 hr
Ensure no devices under patient: IV lines, tubing, etc		Mechanical Ventilation >48 hr
Evaluate need for ET tube repositioning	.	Quadriplegia or Spinal Cord Inju
ument Braden score, interventions provided, new interventions used, status changes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Nitric Oxide Ventilation
ew risk factors determined.	<u>v</u>	Restraints
		Drive Lines (LVAD, RVAD, Balloo
	6	Past History of Pressure Ulcers
	<u>e</u>	Fecal or Urinary Incontinence or
		by Foley catheter or FMS device

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Prevention should drive practice in pressure ulcer care. In this case series of 41 high-risk surgical trauma ICU patients, the outcome of zero incidence of sacral pressure ulcers on those using the soft silicone sacral dressing bears replicating in other critical care environments. As interventions for prevention are tested, the paradigm of prevention will be strengthened. This can only benefit the patient, the healthcare institution, and the science of nursing.

Case Study # 5

34-year-old male with ulcerative colitis including perforation. Complications: Steroid dependency, DVT, PE, sepsis, wound dehiscence, pneumothorax, profound lower extremity edema, 4 surgeries, and respiratory failure.

OUTCOME: In STICU 17 days.

No Sacral Pressure Ulcer.

Soft silicone sacral dressing removed when transfered to operating room. DTI discovered post-operatively.





CONCLUSION:

Of the 93 patients studied, 6 pressure ulcers developed: 4 Deep-tissue injury and 2 Unstageable¹⁰. No pressure ulcers developed on the 41 individuals who had an absorbent soft silicone self-adherent bordered foam dressing applied for protection from excess moisture, friction, and shear. Patients who did develop pressure ulcers were found to have the following characteristics in common:

- STICU to the Nursing Units;



REFERENCES:

- and incidence in intensive care patients: a literature review. British Association of Critical Care
- 2. Doughty D. Prevention and early detection of pressure *and Continence Nursing.* 2008; 35 (1):76-78.
- 3. Worley C. Skin Failure: The permissible Pressure Ulce
- 4. Armstrong D, Ayello E, Capitulo K, et al. New

PRODUCT NOTATION: *Mepilex® Border Sacrum Mölnlycke Health Care US, LLC, Norcross, GA 30092

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE/DISCLOSURE Mölnlycke Health Care US, LLC. provided assistance with poster design.



Did not qualify for inclusion in the high-risk group and therefore did NOT receive a soft silicone sacral dressing;

OR

2. Had soft silicone sacral dressing discontinued due to discharge from the

3. Had dressing removed in preparation for an Operating Room procedure.

VCUHS SURGICAL TRAUMA ICU TEAM

Nurses, Nursing in Critical Care. 2008; 13 (2): 71-79.

ulcers in hospitalized patients. Journal Wound, Ostomy

Dermatology Nursing. August 2007;19 (4): 384-385.

opportunities to improve pressure ulcer prevention and treatment. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2008 Oct; 21(10):469-78

- 1. Shahin E, Dassen T, Halfens R. Pressure ulcer prevalence 5. Braden, B. J; Bergstrom, N. Clinical utility of the Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk: Decubitus. 1989; 2. (3). 44.
 - 6. Armstrong, D., Pg. 469.
 - 7. Gray M, Bohacek L, Weir D, Zdanuk J. Moisture vs Pressure: Making sense out of perineal wounds. JWOCN 2007; 34 (2): 134-142.
 - 8 Mavrovitz H. Sims N. Biophysical Effects of Water and Synthetic Urine on Skin. Adv in Skin and Wound Care. 2001; 14 (6):302-308.
 - 9. Armstrong, D., Pg. 469.
 - 10. NPUAP updated pressure ulcer staging guidelines 2007. http://www.npuap.org/pr2.htm. Accessed Feb. 3, 2009.

